

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,944	05/25/2001	John Slegers	440436	1080
23548 73	590 07/18/2006	EXAMINER		INER
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300			FORTUNA, ANA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/787,944	SLEGERS, JOHN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ana M. Fortuna	1723
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	<u>pril 2006</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,3,5-9,11-21 and 38-45 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 2,3,5-9,11-18, 19-21 and 38-45 is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei	ation No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.
Attaci:nent(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 2-3, 5-9, 11-18, 20-21, 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the connecting conduits feeding the filter and removing residue and filtered material from the filter and recirculating back to the system, the feed by-pass (94, 98), directing the feed to the first filter fluid path or second filter fluid path, pumps, control valves connected to the system.
- 2. Claims 2-3, 5-9, 11-18, 20-21, 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 38, the term "the second filtration means comprising part of the first filtration means" is not understood. Claim 38 is incomplete as to the elements of the system defining the flow paths.

 Claim 2 is unclear as to whether tangential flow filters are intended.

In claim 3, the term "common (filter) to both flow path is not understood as to what is intended, since individuals filters are show in the system (seed figures).

Claim 5, depending on claim 3, do not clearly define the structure of the filter or combination of filters defining the flow paths.

Claim 6 does not add further additional limitation to the structure of claim 5.

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 7 is indefinite as to whether the manifold (s) are inlet manifold(s) or outlet manifold(s).

In claim 38, is unclear as to whether the "manifold connected to each filter" is a feed manifold or permeate or retentate manifold, the systems includes more than one manifold (see figure). The claim is incomplete, it lacks, the fluid paths defined as a retentate recirculation path.

Claim 40 is incomplete as lacking the manifold as "feed manifold (connected to a retentate recirculation conduit (connected to a different sections of the feed manifold). Claim 41 is incomplete and fails to define the second flow path in terms of structure, e.g second "feed" manifold section connected to a retentate recirculation line and to the inlet of a second pump" directing the fluid to a second flowpath (constitute by a second filter or set of filters). The valves location, e.g between the first a second pump, and in the bypass conduit (98), bypassing the first pump (92), is also lacking. In claim 42, the second flow path is defined as "not having a portion of the first continuous flow path"; the claim is unclear regarding to what portion (e.g. section or conduits) are not involved in the second flow path. The later claim fails to provide the system structure defining the "two feed inlets and by pass for the pump defining the inlet manifold, and the therefore the feed fluid paths.

Claim 44 is incomplete as it fails to provide valve location in the system with respect to the "feed" manifold.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/787,944

Art Unit: 1723

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 11, 14, 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office Action.
- 4. Response to Arguments.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 4/5/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Rejections based on 102 and 103 has been withdrawn, the 112 rejections, however, have been modified based on the claims as amended and/or canceled. Applicant argues that the volume in the second "flow path" is lower the an in the second "flow path", all the claims are directed to "a filtration system", therefore, the system(s) need to be claimed based on "structure", e.g elements defining the system, including a clear definition of the structures defining each fluid path (or fluid circuit), and what made the volumes of each circuit to be different (e.g. number of filter included in the circuit of path). The structure of the "feed inlet manifold", including a feed arrangement for a first path, and a second feed arrangement for a second flow path (or recirculation circuit) is not clear in the claims. The feed inlet manifold needs to include all the in each path, e.g. the two feed conduits, tow pumps, valve and bypass, and retentate recirculation conduit connected to the "second flow path, are structural limitations no present in the claims and required to the fine the paths (circuits).

Art Unit: 1723

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana M Fortuna whose telephone number is (571) 272-1141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana M Fortuna
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

AF June 21, 2006